

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/298,72	6 04/23/	99 MADDALON		V	06023-71(MI/
			7	EXAMINER	
000570		QM12/0228	·		
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP				<u> </u>	
22ND FLOOR ONE COMMERCE SQUARE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ET STREET				6
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103				3724	
				DATE MAILED:	
		, ~			02/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/298,726

Applicant(s)

Maddalon

Examiner

*Office Action Summary

Clark F. Dexter

Group Art Unit 3724



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaylo	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. If	is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	e objected to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved.
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED co	opies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Ser	
_ , ,	om the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
_	b priority under 30 0.0.0. 3 110(0).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, P	Paper No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	PTO-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTIO	ON ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

رے

'Application/Control Number: 09/298,726

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1.3 and 7, drawn to a trimming device with a specific feed roller configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 436.3.
 - II. Claims 1-3 and 8, drawn to trimming device with a specific cutter assembly configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 614.
 - III. Claims 1 and 4-6, drawn to a trimming device with a specific control structure, classified in class 83, subclass 360.
- 2. Claims 1-8 have been restricted such that the patentability of the invention is presumed to lie in the details of the particular group (e.g. the specific feed roller configuration of Group I). It is noted that if claim 1 as originally filed is part of an elected group and determined to be patentable, rejoinder of claims 1-8 will be considered.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions of groups I and II are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the cutter assembly configuration (e.g., the two blades parallel to each other) of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group II does not require the specific details

'Application/Control Number: 09/298,726

Art Unit: 3724

of the feed roller configuration (e.g., feed without guidance or reference) of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II.

- Inventions of groups I and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the control structure (e.g., the spacing of the optical sensors) of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the feed roller configuration (e.g., feed without guidance or reference) of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.
- 6. Inventions of groups II and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the control structure (e.g., the spacing of the optical sensors) of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the cutter assembly configuration (e.g., the two blades parallel to each other) of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/298,726

Art Unit: 3724

Species

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - The pivoted cutter assembly as shown in Figure 1;

Species B - The pivoted feed rollers disclosed but not shown.

If either one of groups I and II above is elected, Applicant is required under 35

U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3724

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 USC 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [rinaldi.rada@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 USC 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

February 23, 2000